

catena-Poly[iron(II)-bis{ μ -5-carboxy-2-[(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)methyl]-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylato}]

Yan Tong^{a*} and Hui-Jie Wang^b

^aDepartment of Quality Examination and Management, Zhengzhou College of Animal Husbandry Engineering, Zhengzhou, Henan, 450011, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Biological Engineering, Zhengzhou College of Animal Husbandry Engineering, Zhengzhou, Henan, 450011, People's Republic of China
Correspondence e-mail: tongyan4200@163.com


Received 4 July 2011; accepted 4 September 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293\text{ K}$; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002\text{ \AA}$; R factor = 0.027; wR factor = 0.073; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.9.

In the title coordination polymer, $[\text{Fe}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_6\text{N}_5\text{O}_4)_2]_n$ {or $[\text{FeL}_2]_n$, where $\text{HL} = 2-[(1\text{H}-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)\text{methyl}-1\text{H}-\text{imidazole}-4,5\text{-dicarboxylic acid}]$ }, the Fe^{II} ion, located on an inversion centre, is six-coordinated by two O atoms and four N atoms from two L^- ligands in a distorted octahedral geometry [$\text{Fe}-\text{O} = 2.1452(13)$, $\text{Fe}-\text{N} = 2.1316(14)$ and $2.2484(15)\text{ \AA}$]. There is an intramolecular $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bond in each L^- ligand. Being an effective tridentate bridging ligand, the deprotonated L^- anions link two Fe^{II} atoms, yielding a chain-like polymer propagating along [100]. In the crystal, these polymer chains are linked via $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$ hydrogen bonds forming a two-dimensional network.

Related literature

For the design and self-assembly of metal-organic coordination polymers (MOCP's), see: Batten & Robson (1998); Eddaoudi *et al.* (2001). For related structures, see: Wang *et al.* (2008); Meng *et al.* (2009); Zhang, Li *et al.* (2010); Zhang, Ma *et al.* (2010); Feng *et al.* (2010); Li *et al.* (2010); Chen *et al.* (2010); Jing *et al.* (2010).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[\text{Fe}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_6\text{N}_5\text{O}_4)_2]$
 $M_r = 528.21$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 $a = 7.1790(14)\text{ \AA}$
 $b = 13.490(3)\text{ \AA}$
 $c = 10.129(2)\text{ \AA}$
 $\beta = 99.11(3)^\circ$

$V = 968.6(3)\text{ \AA}^3$
 $Z = 2$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.85\text{ mm}^{-1}$
 $T = 293\text{ K}$
 $0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10\text{ mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury CCD diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2000)
 $T_{\min} = 0.784$, $T_{\max} = 0.919$

10179 measured reflections
1900 independent reflections
1847 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.020$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.073$
 $S = 1.08$
1900 reflections

160 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.25\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.25\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
O3—H3A \cdots O2	0.82	1.70	2.5175 (19)	179
N5—H5A \cdots N2 ⁱ	0.86	2.01	2.850 (2)	166

Symmetry code: (i) $x, -y - \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2000); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was sponsored by the Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province (No. 200510469005) and the start-up fund for scientific research of Zhengzhou College of Animal Husbandry Engineering.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SU2292).

References

- Batten, S. R. & Robson, R. (1998). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 1067–1068.
- Chen, L. Z., Huang, Y., Xiong, R. G. & Hu, H. W. (2010). *J. Mol. Struct.* **963**, 16–21.
- Eddaoudi, M., Moler, D. B., Li, H. L., Chen, B. L., Reineke, T. M. & Yaghi, O. M. (2001). *Acc. Chem. Res.* **33**, 319–330.
- Feng, X., Zhao, J. S., Liu, B., Wang, L. Y., Zhang, G., Wang, J. G., Shi, X. G. & Liu, Y. Y. (2010). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **10**, 1399–1408.
- Jing, X. M., Zhang, L. R., Ma, T. L., Li, G. H., Yu, Y., Huo, Q. S., Eddaoudi, M. & Liu, Y. L. (2010). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **10**, 492–494.
- Li, X., Wu, B. L., Wang, R. Y., Zhang, H. Y., Niu, C. Y., Niu, Y. Y. & Hou, H. H. (2010). *Inorg. Chem.* **49**, 2600–2613.
- Meng, C. X., Li, D. S., Zhao, J., Fu, F., Zhang, X. N., Tang, L. & Wang, Y. Y. (2009). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **12**, 793–795.
- Rigaku (2000). *CrystalClear*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Wang, S. A., Zhang, L. R., Li, G. H., Huo, Q. S. & Liu, Y. L. (2008). *CrystEngComm*, **10**, 1662–1666.
- Zhang, F. W., Li, Z. F., Ge, T. Z., Yao, H. C., Li, G., Lu, H. J. & Zhu, Y. (2010). *Inorg. Chem.* **49**, 3776–3788.
- Zhang, L. P., Ma, J. F., Yang, J., Pang, Y. Y. & Ma, J. C. (2010). *Inorg. Chem.* **49**, 1535–1550.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m1462-m1463 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811036026]

catena-Poly[iron(II)-bis{ μ -5-carboxy-2-[(1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)methyl]-1*H*-imidazole-4-carboxylato}]

Y. Tong and H.-J. Wang

Comment

The design and self-assembly of metal-organic coordination polymers (MOCP's) has received much attention since the early work of (Batten & Robson, 1998) and the group of Yaghi (Eddaoudi *et al.*, 2001). The selection of suitable bi- or multi-dentate bridging ligands plays a crucial role in the construction of MOCP's, through tuning their structural dimensionalities and stereochemistry with different coordination sites. In this work, the semi-rigid ligand, 2-[(1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl) methyl]-1*H*-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid (HL), was synthesized. Similar ligands, which differ only in the groups on the 2-position of the imidazole ring, such as 2-ethyl-1*H*-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid, 2-propyl-1*H*-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid (Wang *et al.*, 2008; Meng *et al.*, 2009; Zhang, Li *et al.*, 2010; Zhang, Ma *et al.*, 2010; Feng, *et al.*, 2010), and 2-pyridinyl-1*H*-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid (Li *et al.*, 2010; Chen *et al.*, 2010; Jing *et al.*, 2010), have been studied extensively. We report herein on the crystal structure of the title one-dimensional coordination polymer.

As shown in Fig. 1, the asymmetric unit of the title coordination polymer contains half a Fe^{II} ion, located on an inversion centre, and a deprotonated L⁻ ligand. The local coordination geometry around the Fe^{II} centre can be described as distorted octahedral. The equatorial plane is formed by two imidazole N atoms (N4 and N4d) and two carboxylate O atoms (O1 and O1d) from two L⁻ ligands, while the axial positions are occupied by two triazolate N atoms (N3b and N3c). The *cis* bond angles around each Fe^{II} centre are in the range 78.11 (5) $^{\circ}$ to 101.89 (5) $^{\circ}$.

Two Fe^{II} centers are linked together by two identical L⁻ ligands through triazolate N-donors, imidazole N-donors and carboxylate O-donors into a 14-membered box-like macrocycle with the Fe1 \cdots Fe1ⁱⁱ separation being 7.179 Å. The symmetrically related triazolyl rings are parallel to one another, and the shortest distance between atoms is 3.662 Å, indicating a weak π - π interaction. Being an effective tridentate bridging ligand, the deprotonated L⁻ anions link two Fe^{II} centers to yield a one-dimensional chain-like polymer propagating along [100].

In the crystal the one-dimensional polymer chains are linked by classical N-H \cdots N hydrogen bonds, involving the uncoordinated triazolate N atoms and the imidazole N atoms, resulting in the formation of a two-dimensional supramolecular network propagating in the bc-plane (Table 1 and Fig. 2).

Experimental

The title coordination polymer was synthesized by adding 1.0 mmol of 2-[(1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl) methyl]-1*H*-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid, (HL), to 5 mL water. Then FeSO₄(0.5 mmol) was added to the above solution, and the mixture was heated to 393 K for 3 days, and then cooled to room temperature. Yellow crystals, suitable for X-ray analysis, were obtained in 46% yield. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₁₆H₁₂FeN₁₀O₈: C, 36.38; H, 2.29; N, 26.52. Found (%): C, 36.52; H, 2.45; N, 26.36.

supplementary materials

Refinement

The H atoms were included in calculated positions and treated as riding atoms: C–H = 0.93 Å for the triazole and 0.97 Å for the methylene H atoms with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$; O–H = 0.82 Å and N–H = 0.86 Å, with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$ and $1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{N})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. A view of the molecular structure of the title coordination polymer, showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering [symmetry codes: (a) = $-1+x, y, z$; (b) = $1+x, y, z$; (c) = $-1-x, -y, -z$; (d) = $-x, -y, -z$].




Fig. 2. A view perpendicular to the two-dimensional network structure of the title polymer, formed via N–H···N hydrogen bonds (dashed lines; see Table 1 for details).

catena-Poly[iron(II)-bis{ μ -5-carboxy-2-[(1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)methyl]-1*H*-imidazole-4-carboxylato}]

Crystal data

[Fe(C ₈ H ₆ N ₅ O ₄) ₂]	$F(000) = 536$
$M_r = 528.21$	$D_x = 1.811 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	Cell parameters from 3321 reflections
$a = 7.1790 (14) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.0\text{--}31.1^\circ$
$b = 13.490 (3) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.85 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 10.129 (2) \text{ \AA}$	$T = 293 \text{ K}$
$\beta = 99.11 (3)^\circ$	Prism, yellow
$V = 968.6 (3) \text{ \AA}^3$	$0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
$Z = 2$	

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury CCD diffractometer	1900 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite	1847 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ω scans	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.020$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>CrystalClear</i> ; Rigaku, 2000)	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.0^\circ, \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.5^\circ$
	$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$

$T_{\min} = 0.784$, $T_{\max} = 0.919$
10179 measured reflections

$k = -16 \rightarrow 16$
 $l = -12 \rightarrow 12$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.073$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.08$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0398P)^2 + 0.4416P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1900 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
160 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.25 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.25 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. Bond distances, angles etc. have been calculated using the rounded fractional coordinates. All su's are estimated from the variances of the (full) variance-covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account in the estimation of distances, angles and torsion angles

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Fe1	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.0218 (1)
O1	0.06876 (16)	0.10364 (9)	-0.14553 (12)	0.0289 (3)
O2	-0.00859 (18)	0.15001 (9)	-0.35930 (13)	0.0354 (4)
O3	-0.19925 (18)	0.08021 (10)	-0.56865 (12)	0.0349 (4)
O4	-0.38127 (18)	-0.05047 (10)	-0.62554 (12)	0.0351 (4)
N1	-0.51150 (18)	-0.17230 (10)	-0.08531 (13)	0.0226 (4)
N2	-0.5674 (2)	-0.23038 (11)	0.01037 (16)	0.0345 (5)
N3	-0.75834 (19)	-0.09910 (10)	-0.02990 (14)	0.0261 (4)
N4	-0.16330 (18)	-0.05447 (9)	-0.17946 (13)	0.0217 (4)
N5	-0.32936 (18)	-0.11788 (10)	-0.36005 (13)	0.0235 (4)
C1	-0.7157 (3)	-0.18384 (14)	0.0396 (2)	0.0361 (6)
C2	-0.6267 (2)	-0.09475 (12)	-0.10846 (16)	0.0248 (5)
C3	-0.3469 (2)	-0.20523 (12)	-0.14359 (18)	0.0272 (5)
C4	-0.2792 (2)	-0.12666 (11)	-0.22693 (16)	0.0216 (4)
C5	-0.1404 (2)	0.00355 (11)	-0.28705 (16)	0.0217 (5)
C6	-0.0165 (2)	0.09232 (12)	-0.26313 (16)	0.0245 (4)
C7	-0.2437 (2)	-0.03540 (12)	-0.40038 (16)	0.0228 (5)

supplementary materials

C8	-0.2797 (2)	-0.00276 (12)	-0.54165 (17)	0.0259 (5)
H1A	-0.78580	-0.20710	0.10290	0.0430*
H2A	-0.61660	-0.04490	-0.17040	0.0300*
H3A	-0.13760	0.10250	-0.50000	0.0520*
H3B	-0.38060	-0.26360	-0.19810	0.0330*
H3C	-0.24600	-0.22340	-0.07230	0.0330*
H5A	-0.40260	-0.15730	-0.41090	0.0280*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Fe1	0.0216 (2)	0.0231 (2)	0.0203 (2)	0.0023 (1)	0.0022 (1)	-0.0003 (1)
O1	0.0284 (6)	0.0284 (6)	0.0293 (6)	-0.0064 (5)	0.0031 (5)	-0.0015 (5)
O2	0.0361 (7)	0.0321 (7)	0.0375 (7)	-0.0095 (5)	0.0041 (5)	0.0108 (5)
O3	0.0412 (7)	0.0376 (7)	0.0263 (6)	-0.0011 (6)	0.0069 (5)	0.0070 (5)
O4	0.0399 (7)	0.0399 (7)	0.0240 (6)	0.0047 (6)	0.0009 (5)	-0.0041 (5)
N1	0.0236 (7)	0.0206 (7)	0.0247 (7)	0.0014 (5)	0.0070 (5)	0.0047 (5)
N2	0.0359 (8)	0.0312 (8)	0.0406 (9)	0.0099 (7)	0.0191 (7)	0.0174 (7)
N3	0.0258 (7)	0.0249 (7)	0.0282 (7)	0.0049 (5)	0.0061 (6)	0.0023 (6)
N4	0.0217 (6)	0.0217 (7)	0.0224 (6)	-0.0002 (5)	0.0053 (5)	0.0006 (5)
N5	0.0236 (7)	0.0229 (7)	0.0241 (7)	-0.0025 (5)	0.0045 (5)	-0.0039 (5)
C1	0.0365 (10)	0.0333 (10)	0.0435 (11)	0.0095 (8)	0.0214 (8)	0.0130 (8)
C2	0.0284 (8)	0.0225 (8)	0.0238 (8)	0.0040 (6)	0.0051 (6)	0.0035 (6)
C3	0.0268 (8)	0.0215 (8)	0.0360 (9)	0.0023 (6)	0.0132 (7)	0.0023 (7)
C4	0.0204 (7)	0.0205 (7)	0.0250 (8)	0.0016 (6)	0.0073 (6)	-0.0006 (6)
C5	0.0207 (8)	0.0229 (8)	0.0224 (8)	0.0007 (6)	0.0062 (6)	0.0021 (6)
C6	0.0210 (7)	0.0248 (8)	0.0289 (8)	0.0003 (6)	0.0073 (6)	0.0010 (6)
C7	0.0214 (8)	0.0248 (8)	0.0232 (8)	0.0014 (6)	0.0069 (6)	-0.0002 (6)
C8	0.0243 (8)	0.0310 (9)	0.0236 (8)	0.0079 (6)	0.0077 (7)	0.0015 (6)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Fe1—O1	2.1452 (13)	N3—C2	1.330 (2)
Fe1—N4	2.1316 (14)	N3—C1	1.352 (2)
Fe1—N3 ⁱ	2.2484 (15)	N4—C4	1.321 (2)
Fe1—N3 ⁱⁱ	2.2484 (15)	N4—C5	1.373 (2)
Fe1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.1452 (13)	N5—C7	1.365 (2)
Fe1—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.1316 (14)	N5—C4	1.345 (2)
O1—C6	1.259 (2)	N5—H5A	0.8600
O2—C6	1.255 (2)	C3—C4	1.484 (2)
O3—C8	1.308 (2)	C5—C6	1.489 (2)
O4—C8	1.213 (2)	C5—C7	1.369 (2)
O3—H3A	0.8200	C7—C8	1.480 (2)
N1—C2	1.331 (2)	C1—H1A	0.9300
N1—C3	1.471 (2)	C2—H2A	0.9300
N1—N2	1.356 (2)	C3—H3B	0.9700
N2—C1	1.310 (3)	C3—H3C	0.9700
O1—Fe1—N4	78.11 (6)	C7—N5—H5A	126.00

O1—Fe1—N3 ⁱ	91.64 (6)	N2—C1—N3	114.38 (18)
O1—Fe1—N3 ⁱⁱ	88.36 (6)	N1—C2—N3	109.91 (14)
O1—Fe1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	180.00	N1—C3—C4	111.65 (13)
O1—Fe1—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	101.89 (6)	N5—C4—C3	125.00 (14)
N3 ⁱ —Fe1—N4	90.69 (6)	N4—C4—N5	110.69 (14)
N3 ⁱⁱ —Fe1—N4	89.31 (6)	N4—C4—C3	124.31 (15)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Fe1—N4	101.89 (6)	N4—C5—C7	109.26 (14)
N4—Fe1—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	180.00	N4—C5—C6	118.24 (14)
N3 ⁱ —Fe1—N3 ⁱⁱ	180.00	C6—C5—C7	132.51 (15)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Fe1—N3 ⁱ	88.36 (6)	O1—C6—O2	125.73 (15)
N3 ⁱ —Fe1—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	89.31 (6)	O1—C6—C5	116.10 (14)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Fe1—N3 ⁱⁱ	91.64 (6)	O2—C6—C5	118.15 (15)
N3 ⁱⁱ —Fe1—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	90.69 (6)	C5—C7—C8	133.23 (15)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Fe1—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	78.11 (6)	N5—C7—C5	105.78 (14)
Fe1—O1—C6	116.15 (11)	N5—C7—C8	120.92 (14)
C8—O3—H3A	109.00	O3—C8—O4	122.94 (16)
N2—N1—C3	117.39 (13)	O3—C8—C7	116.19 (14)
C2—N1—C3	133.07 (14)	O4—C8—C7	120.86 (15)
N2—N1—C2	109.52 (13)	N2—C1—H1A	123.00
N1—N2—C1	103.17 (15)	N3—C1—H1A	123.00
Fe1 ^{iv} —N3—C1	123.27 (13)	N1—C2—H2A	125.00
Fe1 ^{iv} —N3—C2	133.72 (11)	N3—C2—H2A	125.00
C1—N3—C2	103.01 (15)	N1—C3—H3B	109.00
Fe1—N4—C5	111.20 (10)	N1—C3—H3C	109.00
C4—N4—C5	106.21 (13)	C4—C3—H3B	109.00
Fe1—N4—C4	142.58 (11)	C4—C3—H3C	109.00
C4—N5—C7	108.07 (13)	H3B—C3—H3C	108.00
C4—N5—H5A	126.00		
N4—Fe1—O1—C6	3.33 (11)	Fe1—N4—C4—C3	3.5 (3)
N3 ⁱ —Fe1—O1—C6	93.69 (12)	C5—N4—C4—N5	0.72 (17)
N3 ⁱⁱ —Fe1—O1—C6	−86.31 (12)	C5—N4—C4—C3	−178.19 (14)
N4 ⁱⁱⁱ —Fe1—O1—C6	−176.67 (11)	Fe1—N4—C5—C6	−1.63 (17)
O1—Fe1—N4—C4	177.56 (18)	Fe1—N4—C5—C7	178.49 (10)
O1—Fe1—N4—C5	−0.67 (10)	C4—N4—C5—C6	179.49 (13)
N3 ⁱ —Fe1—N4—C4	86.04 (18)	C4—N4—C5—C7	−0.39 (17)
N3 ⁱ —Fe1—N4—C5	−92.20 (11)	C7—N5—C4—N4	−0.78 (18)
N3 ⁱⁱ —Fe1—N4—C4	−93.96 (18)	C7—N5—C4—C3	178.12 (14)
N3 ⁱⁱ —Fe1—N4—C5	87.80 (11)	C4—N5—C7—C5	0.50 (17)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Fe1—N4—C4	−2.44 (18)	C4—N5—C7—C8	−176.74 (14)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Fe1—N4—C5	179.33 (10)	N1—C3—C4—N4	84.25 (18)
Fe1—O1—C6—O2	173.29 (13)	N1—C3—C4—N5	−94.50 (18)
Fe1—O1—C6—C5	−5.11 (17)	N4—C5—C6—O1	4.6 (2)
C2—N1—N2—C1	0.14 (19)	N4—C5—C6—O2	−173.91 (14)
C3—N1—N2—C1	−178.66 (15)	C7—C5—C6—O1	−175.54 (16)

supplementary materials


N2—N1—C2—N3	0.34 (18)	C7—C5—C6—O2	5.9 (3)
C3—N1—C2—N3	178.87 (16)	N4—C5—C7—N5	-0.07 (17)
N2—N1—C3—C4	-169.17 (14)	N4—C5—C7—C8	176.68 (16)
C2—N1—C3—C4	12.4 (2)	C6—C5—C7—N5	-179.92 (15)
N1—N2—C1—N3	-0.6 (2)	C6—C5—C7—C8	-3.2 (3)
C2—N3—C1—N2	0.8 (2)	N5—C7—C8—O3	176.25 (14)
Fe1 ^{iv} —N3—C1—N2	-178.52 (12)	N5—C7—C8—O4	-2.5 (2)
C1—N3—C2—N1	-0.64 (18)	C5—C7—C8—O3	-0.1 (3)
Fe1 ^{iv} —N3—C2—N1	178.54 (11)	C5—C7—C8—O4	-178.83 (17)
Fe1—N4—C4—N5	-177.57 (13)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $x+1, y, z$; (ii) $-x-1, -y, -z$; (iii) $-x, -y, -z$; (iv) $x-1, y, z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , °)

$D\text{—H}\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}\cdots A$
O3—H3A \cdots O2	0.82	1.70	2.5175 (19)	179
N5—H5A \cdots N2 ^v	0.86	2.01	2.850 (2)	166

Symmetry codes: (v) $x, -y-1/2, z-1/2$.

Fig. 1

supplementary materials

Fig. 2

